

RULE-MAKING ORDER

CR-103 (June 2004) (Implements RCW 34.05.360)

	(implements 1(000 04:00:000)							
Agency: Insurance Commissioner	✓ Permanent Rule✓ Emergency Rule							
Effective date of rule:	Effective date of rule:							
Permanent Rules	Emergency Rules							
☐ 31 days after filing.	Immediately upon filing.							
Other (specify) (If less than 31 days after filing, a								
Other (specify) (If less than 31 days after filing, a specific finding under RCW 34.05.380(3) is required and should be stated be	elow) 7							
Any other findings required by other provisions of law as pro								
Purpose: These amendments eliminate a conflict between WAC enacted during the 2003 legislative session. Each sets a different coverage.								
Insurance Commissioner Matter No. R 2007-07								
Citation of existing rules affected by this order: Repealed:								
Amended: WAC 284-30-500 Suspended:								
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 48.02.060								
Other authority :								
PERMANENT RULE ONLY (Including Expedited Rule Making) Adopted under notice filed as WSR 07-14-109 on July 2, 2007. Describe any changes other than editing from proposed to adopted version: If a preliminary cost-benefit analysis was prepared under RCW 34.05.328, a final cost-benefit analysis is available by contacting: Name: Address: Phone () Address: Fax () EMERGENCY RULE ONLY Under RCW 34.05.350 the agency for good cause finds: That immediate adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule is necessary for the preservation of the public health, safety, or general welfare, and that observing the time requirements of notice and opportunity to comment upon adoption of a permanent rule would be contrary to the public interest. That state or federal law or federal rule or a federal deadline for state receipt of federal funds requires immediate adoption of a rule.								
Reasons for this finding:								
Date adopted: September 19, 2007	CODE REVISER USE ONLY							
NAME (TYPE OR PRINT) Mike Kreidler	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED							
SIGNATURE	DATE: September 19, 2007							
Mile Kreidle	TIME: 8:49 AM							
TITLE Insurance Commissioner	WSR 07-19-106							

Note: If any category is left blank, it will be calculated as zero. No descriptive text.

Count by whole WAC sections only, from the WAC number through the history note.

A section may be counted in more than one category.

The number of sections adopted in ord	der to co	mply with:		,	•		
Federal statute: Federal rules or standards:	New New		Amended Amended Amended		Repealed Repealed Repealed		
Recently enacted state statutes:	New		Amended		Repealed		
The number of sections adopted at the	e reques	t of a nongov	vernmental e	entity:			
	New		Amended		Repealed		
The number of sections adopted in the	e agency	/'s own initia	tive:				
	New		Amended	1	Repealed		1
							\ <u></u>
The number of sections adopted in or	der to cl	arify, streaml	ine, or refor	m agency pr	ocedures:		
3	New		Amended		Repealed	<u> </u>	
The number of sections adopted using	g:			•			٠.
Negotiated rule making:	New		Amended		Repealed		
Pilot rule making:	New	 .	Am ended		Repealed,		
Other alternative rule making:	New	<u></u>	Amended	1	Repealed		
,							

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending Order R 87-5, filed 4/21/87)

- WAC 284-30-500 Unfair practices with respect to vehicle insurance. (1) ((Beginning July 1, 1985,)) The following practices by any insurer with respect to every vehicle liability insurance policy applicable to private passenger automobiles registered or principally garaged in this state are unfair and prohibited:
- (a) Failing to provide, to any insured under such policy, liability limits at least as great as those required by RCW 46.29.090, as measured at the effective date of the ((pertinent)) applicable policy or its renewal;
- (b) Denying or limiting liability coverage in such policy to less than the limits required by RCW 46.29.090, solely because the injured person is related to the insured by blood or marriage, as, for example, through use of so-called "family" or "household" exclusions;
- (c) Denying or limiting liability coverage in such policy, with respect to injuries sustained by motorcycle passengers, to an amount below the bodily injury liability limits required by RCW 46.29.090, if the policy provides liability coverage for an insured's ownership, operation, or use of a motorcycle.
- (2) ((Beginning July 1, 1985, the following practices by any insurer, with respect to vehicle insurance policies applicable to private passenger vehicles registered or principally garaged in this state, are unfair and prohibited:
- (a) Failing to provide a named insured under such policy an itemization of the premium costs for the coverages under the policy as to which there are identifiable separate premium charges. Such itemization shall be given no later than the time of delivery of a policy and with each offer to renew thereafter;
- (b) Failing, except with respect to a motorcycle policy, to provide, to any named insured who so requests and pays the premium therefor, first party automobile benefits such as those in medical payments coverage or personal injury protection, on approved forms commonly used by the insurer in the state of Washington, with maximum benefit limits, as appropriate to the particular form, of at least:
- (i) \$35,000 for medical and hospital benefits incurred within three years of the accident,
- (ii) \$35,000 for one year's income continuation benefits, subject to a limit of the lesser of \$700 per week or eighty-five percent of the weekly income; and
- (iii) \$40 per day for loss of services benefits, for at least a year.)) With respect to vehicle insurance policies applicable to private passenger vehicles registered or principally garaged in this state, failing to provide a named insured an itemization of the premium costs for the coverages under the policy if there are

identifiable separate premium charges for the coverages is unfair and prohibited. The required itemization must be given to a named insured no later than at the time of delivery of a policy and must accompany each offer to renew thereafter.

- (3) ((Beginning July 1, 1987, it shall be)) It is an unfair practice for any insurer to consider traffic violations or accidents which occurred more than three years in the past, with respect to the acceptance, rejection, cancellation or nonrenewal of any insured under a private passenger automobile insurance policy, unless, because of the individual's violations, accidents or driving record during the three years immediately past, the earlier violations or accidents are significantly relevant to the individual's qualifications for insurance.
- (4) For purposes of this section, the definition of a "private passenger automobile" is that set forth in RCW 48.18.297, and includes a motorcycle except as otherwise specifically provided in this section.